Mother of Likely Murdered OpenAI Whistleblower Reveals All

Poornima Ramarao,
Tucker Carlson

Mother of Likely Murdered OpenAI Whistleblower Reveals All, Calls for Investigation of Sam Altman

https://rumble.com/v69sarg

Suchir Balaji worked as an engineer for Sam Altman building AI, until he decided that Altman was committing crimes. Balaji became a whistleblower, and soon after was found dead in his apartment. California authorities claim it was suicide. Crime scene photos clearly show a murder. Balaji's mother, Poornima Ramarao, tells the most shocking story we've heard in a long time.

TUCKER: Thank you very much for joining us.
MOTHER So my son I owe this to him.
TUCKER: Well this is a remarkable story so we were connected by someone we both know. I had followed this casually in the media didn't know what to make of it. Your son died in November. You commissioned an examination by an independent forensic pathologist called Dr. Dinesh Rao. I have it right here accompanied by photographs that you took and I don't think any honest person looking at this would conclude this was a suicide. There's an awful lot of evidence that it was and I was actually shocked by it.
So I want to get into the details of what may have happened, but I'd like to start if you don't mind by hearing about your son. Who was he? How old was he? What did he do? What was he like?
MOTHER: So he was a prodigy. From birth we knew he was gifted and after leaving he studied his master's and bachelor's in computer science from UC Berkeley, the top school. He had 4.0 GPA throughout. As soon as he graduated he started working at OpenAI as a AI researcher. His first project was web GPT for which a fresh graduate he took the lead role and that web GPT turned into chatGPT, an application. Yes one this was a publication of four people one of them is Suchir. Following this he worked at OpenAI for pre-training data that means he was scooping up all the internet data feeding to chatGPT's AI model. Following this he worked…
TUCKER: Which is the fuel that it runs on it needs massive amounts of data in order to become what it is.

MOTHER: Yes, that's the AI model and for the AI model there needs to be data fed and this data is what everybody is saying is copyrighted data. That's what all the lawsuits are against. Yes, he was involved in fueling that data.
TUCKER: He was he was directly involved in that part of the business.
MOTHER: Yes, he knows it inside out. Yes, following that he worked directly on the chatGPT application team itself under John Schulman. In this team he was vital. His former boss John claims that his role was vital in chatGPT team and he did some kind of tuning and optimization made it very efficient.
It's a significant contribution, but the irony is he was never acknowledged by OpenAI and even after he left OpenAI, after his death also no one has acknowledged him. Prior to joining OpenAI he was so qualified. He was national champion 2016 for computing Olympia. That means he was the top programmer of 2016. Following that he went, he took a gap year at 17 he said he doesn't need a degree. After that he said I don't need a degree. I don't need to go to college.
He took up a job in Kora software engineer he was hired with 25,000 sign-on bonus and a pay equivalent to a master's as a bachelor's holder. He worked there for a year and then he joined UC Berkeley after taking a gap year it took a lot of effort to persuade him to go back to college for which he was grateful throughout. And at UC Berkeley he represented UC Berkeley for ACM ICPC inter-college programming contest, the world contest.
He went to China along with his team that was in 2017-2018. He won a hundred thousand dollars award for a TSC competition. This is for passengers screening algorithm which TSA has implemented today. He was shining all throughout his life. Prior to this at the age of 11 he got 680 on SAT and then at 14 the paper he wrote like makes me believe he's a prodigy. That science paper is about quantum computing, optimizing a CPU.
He talks about real estate design that my friends claimed they studied in their masters in electronics and communications. All these things make me believe he's a prodigy and he's demonstrated he had exceptional abilities

TUCKER: And it sounds like he did so, but and it sounds like from reading about it that he ran into trouble only when he began to question whether or not the data that was being fed to openAI was copyrighted.
MOTHER: He never raised a voice when he was within openAI because he had concerns that management will not approve and he started to question all these when a chatGPT became profit making. As long as it was nonprofit it was okay he explains in his publication on Suchir.net what his views are and why he believes this is copyright violation.
When he started after re-instatement of Sam Altman in 2023 November 21st was the day he got reinstated. I know it's so well because it was Suchir's birthday that day. He got reinstated and after that Suchir started thinking about the copyright violation and he did discuss with me it's very unethical and he feels awful about it. And I said yes it's unethical I I agree with you.
TUCKER: What's unethical is using copyrighted material owned by other people for your
MOTHER: business which yes artist work will be lost that means of living will be impacted yes and also he did a research and he published like how the stack overflow and then few other like maybe core a few other websites that traffic is reducing and he also made a publication in which he explains that the answer given by chatGPT versus the answer given by stack overflow how unscientific and inaccurate the answer is.
TUCKER: Interesting yes when did he leave OpenAI?
MOTHER: He left in august of 2024 yes third week of august very recently.
TUCKER: When did he write his now famous paper about what the management of OpenAI was doing with copyrighted material?
MOTHER: The next day he already had made up his mind prior leaving to leaving OpenAI. In fact, in July he discussed with his UC Berkeley colleague that he wanted to do a startup and his friend expressed interest.
So next day after leaving OpenAI he went on interview with Canadian news media. We didn't know anything about it. Yes, we just found out was based on his call logs. He spoke to someone for 40 minutes after

his passing. We called him and found out he was through this and it was next day after his last day at work.
TUCKER: Who did he speak to? But he spoke to a reporter.
MOTHER: I'll get back to you, but Canadian news media that sued OpenAI. Interesting on October 23rd his interview was published in New York Times where he makes a very open statement and he calls OpenAI violates copyright data.
TUCKER: On October 23rd he was quoted in the New York Times saying that OpenAI violates copyright law yes stealing other people's material. Yes, okay and what day did he die?
MOTHER: 22nd November, but we found a body on October 26th November
TUCKER: So he was quoted saying that OpenAI was committing crimes and a month later he was dead.
MOTHER: That's not just it. On November 18th New York Times named my son as custodian witness. A custodian witness is very important. He had the documents against OpenAI that was on 18th and on 22nd he just came back from vacation from LA and Catalina island the same night they had attacked him and killed him.
TUCKER: Tell us about what the New York Times wrote on November 18th.
He had these documents what were the documents?
MOTHER: We need to get the documents from New York times. it's a sealed document and it's not open for public, but we need to become like the state administrator. My husband will be the state administrator end of this month, then we will go and acquire that data, what documents they were. At the moment we don't have information.
TUCKER: But you know because you read it in the New York Times that your son had given journalists papers that he says implicated OpenAI in crime.
MOTHER: Yes, and also on December 2nd Suchir had an interview planned with associated press Matt Overland on December 2nd Suchir did not attend third they called on his phone by then we had his phone my husband took phone call and he found out that Suchir wanted to take legal action against OpenAI. He wanted to give the witness. By then this news was not out yet that Suchir was a custodian witness, but we got it then. All we did was hurrying up the

second autopsy, private autopsy moment. We heard that this was his initiative and he didn't make it to do that.
TUCKER: So to bottom line it sounds like your son who's your only child, by the way, and I'm really sorry about that, your son was in a position to really threaten OpenAI.
MOTHER: Well it's not his intent was not to threaten he just cared about people. He cared about humanity. He writes in his article in New York Times as well as a publication. He believes the way that AI is currently without any regulation is a harm to humanity. He stood up for a cause and he lost his life for a cause. He's a martyr, obviously. He's a martyr and then he never hesitated. He put his life at risk.
TUCKER: So the official cause of death is suicide. You are his mother. Clearly you spoke to him a lot. Did you get any indication that he was depressed?
MOTHER: No, he just came back from vacation. He had a very active lifestyle when he happened we had his memorial service many of his friends came and they gave Kurt the details of when they met him and how many times they met him after he left. He was visiting family. We were going out for dinner-lunch. He was fine and more than anything else he had concrete career plans.
TUCKER: Yes, an interview scheduled and he had things on his to-do list. Did he ever suggest to you, say to you, that he felt his life was threatened was he worried?
MOTHER: He had concrete plans he wanted to do some work for humanity. That's the last day when we spoke last conversation with Suchir in person was that he had a non-profit in mind and he didn't want to take the salary for his work.
He said mama I have enough resources. I don't even need to get paid. I want to serve humanity and he wanted to work in a neuroscience and machine learning combination using that. I think I've seen on his desktop one of the projects for future of doctor visit. Since we haven't unlocked his email and laptop yet, we have to discover that, but we know he discussed with me. It's a one-year plan he said, give me a one-year time. You'll know everything.
TUCKER: Did he ever talk to you about Sam Altman? Did you ever talk to your son about Sam Altman?
MOTHER: Not to me, but to his friends a lot. when he was in Catalina

he spoke a lot against him. He literally didn't like him. In part I've seen his chat log saying that he wanted to work with Annie. he had non-profit work.
TUCKER: And that would be Sam's sister who was accused him of sexual abuse, really he wrote that down.
MOTHER: Yes, so he knew what personality Sam Altman had and his main concerns were the lies that Sam Altman used, the lies. Yes, he was lying a lot and my son is very ethical and he couldn't stand it.
TUCKER: So the time, if we could go to the timeline around your son's death. So he goes to Catalina island with friends to backpack, to camp that was backpacking.
MOTHER: Yes, November 16 to November 22nd there were five guys who went and my son was one of them all these are his middle school and high school classmates. Some of them are his best buddies. We spoke to every one of them when they came back and everyone gave an image like he is upbeat was very happy. He celebrated his 26th birthday on 21st November the day before he died. What more do we need to give an account of his happy mood?
TUCKER: Exactly, and if you know at a certain age you know people who've killed themselves and typically the suicide follows a morose period of isolation the person sitting alone in his apartment drinking. He died the night he came back from a camping trip with his high school and college friends.

MOTHER: Yes, Avaratani, he came to his apartment with us and moment he inspected his apartment he said he had fresh supplies ordered. He has so many packets unopened. This doesn't look suicide and let all know.
TUCKER: And the photographs that you took which we'll get to in a minute. I just want to say this is not the first time I've been contacted by a family saying our child was ruled a suicide, but we think it was a murder. I mean many people have claimed that. I've never seen anything like this before. This is I think again to any fair person suggests that he was murdered, but let's get to that. So what do you know. He came back from Catalina and if you don't mind telling us

what you know about what happened then he comes back that evening to San Francisco.

MOTHER: He came around like 11 o'clock he texted me his flight is taking off that was on 22nd. Then 4:54 he texted saying that it's pouring in San Francisco and when he got off Uber leasing office manager. He has apparently seen which are coming off with big suitcase and other things and then so he said yes, I'm coming back from LA.

That's somewhere between 1 to 2 p.m. that day. and then he spoke to dad at 7:15 p.m. He was very happy and in Suchir said like shall we go to CES in last week hours in January because it was raining here dad brought up the concept that you know whether will be great in January. So he said yes and dad gave him a birthday gift because 21st was his birthday he said he'll send him cash, just a token of our love and he accepted it.

TUCKER: Then that's the night he died.

MOTHER: The day he died.

TUCKER: So his last conversation with his father was what time roughly?

MOTHER: That was the last conversation. I called the next day 12:15 p.m. The phone rang once and it went to voicemail.

When did you learn that your son was dead?

That was on 26th. On the 25th I went to his apartment knocked on the door no one opened. I called a dispatch unfortunately it took a day of effort for us to get his apartment open. On 25th they went and they didn't even call me back of what happened.

Apparently they had gone and knocked on the door. They didn't bother to open the door. They just said okay nobody's opening the door and they went back. Th6 security guard from the apartment complex says he saw the fire truck at the ambulance and the police and they went back at five thirty. I waited and waited and five thirty p.m. when I called on Monday 25th they said Ma'am, unless you are here we cannot open the door.

The next morning I went to the Union City police station, that's where we live and filed a missing person complaint. I waited for one and a half hours, but when we started calling all the hospitals in San Francisco area to see if he may have had an accident. Why is he not

responding to us? What happened to him?
At the moment we found that he was not in any of the hospitals, we took a deep breath okay he's safe, but when we went to his apartment one o'clock I went to San Francisco and the dispatch came at 1:09. Until four o'clock we were not told anything.
TUCKER: And then you got a call from the city?
MOTHER: No that is not how it went. At 1:09 two people two officers came and they went with the leasing office manager leasing manager and I'm waiting outside. They didn't allow me inside the premises I'm waiting outside the complex.
Then 1:13 they have seen apparently that's what the report says they've seen the body within five ten minutes they came out and they told me no we didn't enter. We're waiting for two more officers. We have a security protocol. We cannot go inside. Around two o'clock two more officers came.
But they knew your son was dead and they lied to you?
They didn't tell that, but moment two o'clock they have a protocol. I don't blame them. They said at two o'clock, they said ma'am you can go back home we will inform you in five to six hours.
I said I'm not going anywhere. They told me it's going to take some time for the next two officers to come. I said let it be please give me the phone number I'll contact them and find out if there's any way we can expedite it. My son's safety is in question. I cannot wait any longer. And then at 3:20 I saw a big white van coming and my heart sank.
TUCKER: So they knew as they were speaking to you that your son was dead, but didn't tell you. They didn't tell you. That's disgusting. That's inhuman, sorry.
MOTHER: It is and you know they're asking me to go home and how I knew my son was dead is looking at the white van. At that moment I knew ambulance didn't come and instead of even then I was optimistic. Okay maybe ambulance wasn't available they sent another van. And when I just saw a stretcher that came out I went and spoke to the officers who are from the medical examiners. We have a dead person in the apartment that's how I came to know.
TUCKER: I'm so sorry. That is really mean. That is. I didn't know things like that happened. That is awful.

MOTHER: It's a gated community. He had a gun with him to protect himself. What more it can be expected in America than that. It's a very ill-refuted apartment complex, not that it's cheap or something. They have four or five buildings.
TUCKER: Did the officers at the scene give you any indication of how they thought your son died?
MOTHER: At four o'clock they came to the leasing office and the medical examiner said he shot himself. He killed himself. It's a suicide. At that moment I raised to them, look my son went into New York Times. He's a whistleblower and he's dead, doesn't look suicide to me. They just didn't want to listen. He bought a gun. It belongs to him. Nobody went into the complex. Nobody came out. He was all by himself and he's a suicide.
And it how long it took. Can you believe it. It took 40 minutes to investigate, 40 minutes to determine the date, cause of death.
And at four o'clock they give me the keys for the apartment and they say you can collect the body tomorrow. Like right from that moment I see foul play. Proper formalities were not followed and the next day they released a body within 24 hours without doing the complete autopsy. They removed the bullet. They did a CT scan and returned the body to us.
Then we just check with the funeral home and we discuss with them. And then they also said looks like doesn't seem normal. There's something very unusual here. You should do a second private autopsy.

TUCKER: That's the funeral home suggested that?

MOTHER: Yes, and even we were thinking, both of us were also thinking, funeral home also suggested we were shattered. Obviously, right? We were completely shattered. We just didn't know what's going on and seeing a son like that, and they said, like his eyes are gone with the gun wound. Don't see his face. We couldn't see him.

TUCKER: But the fact that the funeral home, which of course, sees dead bodies every day, said to you, this doesn't look right. Doesn't look like a suicide. We recommend that you get a second autopsy, a real autopsy. That's amazing.

MOTHER: Actually, the way, they didn't look at the body. They didn't look at any evidence, but the way the information was given to us, and the way the procedures and policies need to be followed and norms were not followed, according to them. Before they received the body itself, they said this doesn't look normal, and we address the concern that it could be some foul play here. And we knew from the very moment we heard the news. We know it's not suicide next day in the death news. And I'm shattered by calling police, calling medical examiner, coroner's office, homicide unit in. We've done everything we can.

TUCKER: So tell me what you know about what's been proven from the CCTV, from the surveillance footage about your son's last moments. Is there any evidence anyone came in or out of the apartment?

MOTHER: No, actually, even when our attorney concluded it's a suicide, even at that moment, I mentioned to the attorney, look, there are three entrances to this building. One of them is gated. It has CCTV. Other two entrances don't have CCTV. And why are we ignoring that? Nobody came in, nobody went out. And also, what we just recently discovered is his packages are missing. His neighbor in the apartment complex. He mentioned that they have a shared package room. They have a shared bin or basket or something. He's seen such his package until December 31. He reached out to me through Twitter on X platform. When I tweeted, he found out, just this moment. And he said, Have you picked up his packages. I don't see that after January 1, those packages are missing. When we talk to that neighbor, he said, like, it's very common that packages get lost in September, they received a notification that if you see so and so, man, then let us know. And that tells us a lot about the security. And like, there's a compromise of key, there's a compromise of entrance, all of these need to be investigated, obviously, when there is a murder.

TUCKER: So let's, let's get to the reason that I am anxious to do this interview, which is this report that you commissioned Dr. Dinesh Rao, and it contains his analysis of the condition of your son's body based

on an autopsy, I believe in it, and it critically contains seven crime scene photographs that you took in your son's apartment. Is that correct?

MOTHER: That's right, there are seven evidences that were very critical, which has not been picked up by the authorities. Within 40 minutes, they concluded they haven't even picked up critical things like a bag with blood that has my son's saliva in it. They haven't picked that up. And how could they miss hairs falling all over from the wig? Right?

TUCKER: So that's what I want to get to. So the two things that jump out to me, the first thing that jumps out, and it's very obvious from the photographs that you took, is that there's blood all over the apartment. So the official explanation is that your son shot him, and I'm sorry. I know this was hard, but I think it's important to go through this. The official explanation is your son shot himself once in the head, and the bullet entered the brain stem and extinguished life immediately.

MOTHER: Can I say something? Yes, it didn't touch his brain stem at all. It didn't even touch his brain and the angle is downwards.

TUCKER: Yes, I'm saying the official explanation is he shot himself once in the head. He died. Yes, but these photographs show that that's not at all what happened, because there's blood all over the apartment, there's blood on the door, on the floor in the bathroom, there's blood everywhere. So that right there, just employing common sense tells you that whoever that the person from whom that blood came, your son, presumably did not have a fatal brain injury, at least when he was bleeding. I mean, this is something was going on in the apartment, right? The second thing that jumps out is that there is a tuft of hair that's not hair, it's from a wig. It's from a wig. It's okay, so tell us what that is, for people who don't know it's a part of a clip in wig,

MOTHER: There's some kind of plastic band or something that fits the wig on the head. We can see that coming out behind the door, yes, and that's obvious evidence that no crime scene investigators are police can miss. They just ignored everything that showed murder,

and they picked up everything that showed a covered up suicide.

TUCKER: But how could they ignore so your son did not mean he was a 26- year-old man with short hair. From pictures, he's not wearing a wig, correct?

MOTHER: Oh no, no, he's not. And these hairs are not his. This investigators analysis looked at the hair from the autopsy pictures, but my son's hair, and this hair, this is not his hair and the and the portion of the wig, the clip in wig, has blood on it, yes.

TUCKER: Okay, so as a non-forensic investigator myself, I look at that and I'm saying, where did this come from? And there's blood all over the apartment. Right there I would say this is, maybe it's a suicide, but you're going to have to tell me how, because what is that?

MOTHER: It's not a suicide, it's a homicide, obviously. We have enough reasons to believe that. One is coming from the private autopsy. It's not a suicidal angle at all.

TUCKER: So you said that, and let me let you explain. It's not a suicidal angle. So you. You had the crime scene. Your son's body was taken away. It was evaluated in some way by the authorities. They say, this is a suicide. You have a like a real autopsy done by Professor Rao. And he says, What about the bullet angle? Will you explain that?

MOTHER: The autopsy was done by Dr. Joseph Cohen and he says two things. One of them is the bullet angle is going downward about 30 to 45 degrees downward. It's been shot here. It's missed the brain. Second thing is there's a head injury on the left side of the head. In fact, I spoke to medical examiner's office executive director. I told him there's a head injury, the sign of struggle in the bathroom. He just declared that 'I'm the decision making authority of state of California. I decide the cause of death'. And when I brought up we'll have federal investigation. There was a momentary set back in his voice on the phone, but still they're holding on to suicide.

TUCKER: So Dr. Cohen's autopsy and this is grizzly I'm sorry, but shows, demonstrates proves, that the bullet was fired at what angle?

MOTHER: It's a downward angle that someone cannot shoot themselves.
TUCKER: So in other words if this were a suicide your son would have had to have held the gun to his forehead coming down…
MOTHER: from the top. He was made to sit, if you look at the same thing like the victim was sitting down. He was made to sit. Someone standing, he shot him down, in fact, like biology and my husband and I am going to create a virtual reality, once we get all the evidence, we will create a virtual reality video and present it to court of what exactly happened to him at that moment.
TUCKER: You also said, if I heard you correctly, that he had another head injury not caused by a bullet. Can you tell us about that?
MOTHER: Yes, actually it appeared to us that from his fallen toothpick and toothpick and blood in the sink and all that it appeared around tenish because 10:10 is his last browser history on the desktop.
He was brushing his teeth before going to bed. He was attacked from behind on the head. That's why this fallen toothpick and his ear bud has fallen in two different directions because of the head impact and we believe after that he was either electrocuted or he was like he was paralyzed from the head injury and then he just they just held him up, made him sit and shot him. But also we know that. We are waiting for further report, but that may not be the cause of death. The gunshot wound is not the cause of death and probably they might have suffocated him. We don't have any details of that cruelty, but we are waiting for further report from the city's crime…
TUCKER: Why do you believe the gunshot was not the cause of death?
MOTHER: Because it's not touched the brain, it only causes makes him unconscious.
TUCKER: So the autopsy showed that the bullet did not touch his brain.
MOTHER: Yes, and also since I called his apartment on 23rd at 12:15 p.m. It rang once and it went to voicemail. That makes me believe the killers were still there in the apartment. Again we need to verify all that. We can do something called geo-fencing through that we can figure out who was there, who was visiting that complex and all that information. That's exactly why we need a thorough investigation.

TUCKER: You certainly do. You said your son's last browser record is from 10 p.m. ish
MOTHER: 10:10 p.m.
TUCKER: And what was he looking at you know?
MOTHER: I think he was looking at a black cat or something because last time when Suchir and I met a cat was going in front of me. And then I told him it's a superstition and then he was looking at New York Times topics and he logged into a website for those, for the startup or something like that. He logged into a website and he was doing some research.
TUCKER: So he wasn't looking up “how do I kill myself”
MOTHER: No that's the first thing that we looked for actually.
TUCKER: Of course of course. I mean killing yourself is a profound decision for people. There are signs…
MOTHER: Can I say something? It doesn't add up if he's so brave to go to news media to be a witness. He's so courageous. How does someone so courageous and do a cowardly act? It doesn't add up at all.
TUCKER: Well what doesn't add up is there's blood all over the apartment and there's a piece of a wig on the ground covered in blood that's not his wig. And you don't need to be a detective to imagine that if someone's going to come in and murder, he might be wearing a wig as he goes into the apartment. So that right there considering your son didn't wear a wig as a 26-year-old male.
MOTHER: These were not his. That's also another thing.
Those hairs were not his hair. We have the picture of his hair. His hair is fallen down in the bathroom sink. That is different from the wig in the head in the wig. His original hairs have been found on the floor. They might have strangled him by his hair or something his hair has fallen down. That hair versus the wig hair are different.
TUCKER: Did anybody hear anything in the apartment? Did anyone hear the gunshot?
MOTHER: No one heard anything.
TUCKER: No one heard anything and two entrances into the complex have no surveillance cameras.
MOTHER: Yes.
TUCKER: Wow, it makes the hair and your arms go up. Yes, again

I've been involved in many stories like this, more than five and I've never seen one like this.
What's unbelievable is it's so obviously not a clean cut story. I mean you wouldn't walk into an apartment with blood splattered everywhere and see a man on the floor with a gun in his hand and say oh was a suicide. Well how did the blood get in the bathroom. he shot himself in the head like that doesn't even make sense.
MOTHER: It was a cover up right. So they picked up what they want to pick up. We don't know if they have been instructed to do this beforehand.
TUCKER: So okay, let's thank you for describing all that and I'm sorry I know it's awful yes, but tell me how the authorities responded if you don't mind.
MOTHER: The authorities have been very indifferent. In fact, we hired an attorney, a senior attorney. He wrote to chief of police, city administrator, chief medical examiner, executive director of medical examiner.
He wrote very clearly couple of points. One of them is he was not suicidal before making a decision of suicide. They need to take his mental state into account.
He has happy pictures. He and they shared the pictures. He just came back and he said talked to four of his friends who accompanied him. And he also said the autopsy information in that letter that the autopsy report says that this is the angle of gunshot it doesn't look like suicide at all.
A doctor described this as atypical, abnormal, worrisome angle. He wrote all of these to them. We just had them to reconsider it and do the investigation. The chief of police apparently has agreed to do an investigation, but medical examiner stopped it. He said, no, this is suicide and the attorney came back and said, what they said was, oh, yes the angle looks different, so what, it's a suicide.
TUCKER: I mean shooting himself in the forehead from a downward angle. okay it I'm not even sure if that's possible.
MOTHER: But we're going to demonstrate that we just ordered a dummy gun. We're going to create a dummy of his size and we're going to demonstrate using the VR or in the video. We're going to demonstrate to people it's not possible.

TUCKER: Who is the medical examiner who refuses to reconsider a pretty obviously silly explanation for this?
MOTHER: His name is David Hellman.
TUCKER: David Hellman is the San Francisco medical examiner.
MOTHER: But he has been covered by David Sewell who's executive director every time we call, it's him.
And even the news report is given by David Sewell. There's no all play this.
TUCKER: David Sewell, and who is David Sewell?
MOTHER: He's executive director of medical examiner's office. He is the one who's holding on to suicide despite seeing all the evidences, he wants to declare it only suicide, nothing else.
TUCKER: What does he make of the bloody wig and the blood splattered in the bathroom when your son was not found in the bathroom like how does he explain that?
MOTHER: He didn't want to listen to it. He didn't want to pay attention to any other things that we we're saying. And you know the worst struggle here is the first attorney he was reluctant to help us. When we said so when medical examiner's office said suicide, he didn't want to fight because they have been threatened obviously.
Then we hired a second attorney. He got a crime scene investigator and our attorney said we'll give you explanation of every blood mark and he came back this Monday and he gave explanation and none of that explanation he gave corroborated to what happened in the apartment based on what we see.
That means even the crime scene investigator was bought. He gave inaccurate results. If both the attorneys are like this, then where do we go we definitely need some serious help here from the authorities. He's a friend the new Mayor.
TUCKER: Okay so the authorities would begin with the Mayor of San Francisco.
MOTHER: The Mayor of San Francisco, actually Sam Altman is on the transition committee for the new Governor.
TUCKER: Sam Altman's a friend of the new Mayor. Yes okay, so let's move up. Then you go to the Governor of California, Gavin Newsum.
MOTHER: We are first trying to meet the District Attorney of San Francisco. We probably are going to get an appointment sometime

next week or later this week.
TUCKER: It's mid-January, your son was killed in November.
MOTHER: We are not been getting any appointments. we wrote to everyone. We wrote to chief of police. We wrote to D.A. like we didn't get any appointment from any of them. Five weeks were wasted by a first attorney. He does nothing. He just keeps prolonging, prolonging, prolonging. He wasted five weeks.
Then we hired a second attorney. It's been almost two weeks since we hired, but now like we just didn't trust any of these people. We got a report from someone else and now we're coming to media because these people like none any other authorities or the attorneys are helping us.
TUCKER: This needs to go to the Department of Justice I think in the Trump administration.
MOTHER: I did that. Yes, we haven't heard yet because it's only been a few days. We did write to them.
TUCKER: Has anybody in a position of authority in the state of California said to you, boy, this needs more investigations. Doesn't look right.
MOTHER: Everybody is suppressed. No one has come in our favor. In fact, even our attorneys whom we hired have been made to tell us a suicide to us. Every day from every different angle, there is a push for us that it's a suicide. But we parents, we know and we want to fight for justice for our beloved son.
TUCKER: But I don't understand on what basis. So your son had just come back that day from hanging out with his buddies. Okay, so, which is like a happy thing, it's no evidence that he was suicidal whatsoever, and tons of forensic evidence that he was murdered. So on what grounds are they saying it's very likely he killed himself?
MOTHER: Okay? That's because of the cover up. Remember, like there was a gun near him. He owned a gun, and they put a shell casing and four bullets near it. They made it look like it. So there are both the evidences right for the medical examiner to pick up. He picked up the one that looked suicidal. He ignored the one that looks like homicide, that's what it is.
TUCKER: So now to Sam Altman, what contact with Sam Altman, have you had since your son died?

MOTHER: We contacted HR for 401 k plan details. Until then, no one from openAI ever contacted us. They gave the PR report that they're supporting the family. How such here obviously put parents in his emergency contact, right? They got the death news on December 13. They made no attempt to contact us. And when we reached out to HR, Sam Altman said he wants to talk to us.
By then, we already knew such here was his presence or his living would have been a threat to AI industry in general. I wouldn't want to name one company, but eventually it's going to expose everything, right? So we didn't want to talk to Sam Altman. And then they said, we provide Employee Assistance Program, though he was a former employee. We said, we have medical insurance. We don't need it. Then they pushed us, saying, we want to get you on a zoom call ASAP to go over his stock options. Then our response to that is, why are you in a hurry? We are not. We've lost her son. We are grieving. Money is not important to us. Please talk to a probate attorney, and we had a probate attorney talk to the HR,
TUCKER: They wanted to talk about his stock options?
MOTHER: Yes, that's why they wanted to get us on a zoom call.
TUCKER: Do you think? Do you believe you can't know, I guess, but do you think that Sam Altman was involved in your son's death?
MOTHER: We don't know. We need to investigate it. We can't we can't say anything at this time, but one thing I know for sure is, if he was deposed, there are few organizations who could have been impacted. For that matter, like there are a lot of AI companies which violate copyright law, and we don't know what openAI wanted to hide when my son deposed.
What was the wrong doing that he would have brought up, not just the copyright law? That's exactly we need FBI to investigate thoroughly. Was there any criminal activity? Was there any illegal activity? We don't know, right? Why? Why would they silence him? What would he have spoken? If it's just a copyright law do you think these big organizations like Microsoft and OpenAI care? No, they don't care about it, right? Because they have money and power. What else was involved in the deposition, in the testimony that took my son's life? We need a thorough investigation.
TUCKER: So you haven't seen those documents, you don't know.

MOTHER: Okay, there are two things here. One is the custodial documentation, right? The second thing is his own statement. His own statement. We heard from few former employees of openAI. There are certain wrongdoings there. We can't say something that someone shared, right? So I would want to keep it more of overview, something like that.
So that is the reason they're hiding. And you know what, if we come and tell openly, our life could be at risk, but we are taking the risk and coming out and telling publicly that's the reason our son was killed, because he knew something that was going on, keeping in mind, he was feeding the data, pre-training data.
TUCKER: So to be clear, you think this is not simply about openAI, stealing copyrighted material. It's there's more than that, more
MOTHER: One hundred percent sure, because copyright data, obviously my friends and I were all talking. These companies, they get many lawsuits like this, right? Sure. Do they really care about it? No.
TUCKER: What is the kind of thing they do care about. What kind of crimes do you think they would kill someone over?
MOTHER: Stealing some data that against that that could cause a violation? Possibly they might.
TUCKER: Stealing some data from the US, government, from other government, something like that.
MOTHER: Maybe government is involved. We don't know. We're speculating.
TUCKER: That's just a remarkable story. Do you think that we will find out? Like, how will the rest of us find out what your son testified to.
MOTHER: That's exactly why we call out to President Trump to do a thorough investigation. We want the FBI director to be assigned to investigate this. This is not just about copyright. This is about a lot of other things that are going on that could cause harm to society, potentially, not just my son's death. There's something harmful that need to be evaluated and like thoroughly investigated. That's exactly why they're covering up a suicide. Why do you think medical examiners the executive director would come and tell why do you think nobody gives us appointment because there's some kind of foul play and there's a strong power behind Medical Examiner.
TUCKER: So you're saying that AI itself poses a threat to the world,

and that your son understood this?
MOTHER: Yes, he understood it, but he was keeping in mind that's one aspect. The other aspect is he knew everything openAI does Yes, and he might have actually felt overwhelmed by what kind of activity he got involved in. And we know that he spoke to a copyright attorney, which is again a cover up, we suspect. And what Matthew Butterick told us on November 29 is, yes, I met with your son. He's a very brave man. So he told us what openAI did to him. He didn't say anything beyond that.
That day, he said, I live in San Francisco/LA, I come to San Francisco quite a few times, and I'm going to talk to you next time when I come. And then he's been silent. He's not telling us anything. We had our attorney call. Even then, apparently he did not say so, if we depose him, he's going to spill out everything. And also, we suspect he's Sam Altman's friend, and he's played against our son and has indirect involvement. We don't know.
TUCKER: Wow, there was a moment where Sam Altman left his own company, or seemed to leave his own company for a few days. You may remember this. What was that? Do you know
MOTHER: Sam Altman was fired? This was because of AI safety issues. The Board of Directors for openAI from the nonprofit side, especially one called Ilya Sutskever, he and others, excuse me, fired Sam Altman and then all the 700 employees, including my son, wrote a letter or a memorandum saying that if they don't reinstate Sam Altman, they're all going to resign. So they brought Sam admin, they fired Ilya, who's AI safety expert, and to be honest, few days after my son's death was announced. December 15, Ilya was seen in a presentation in one of the conferences. He had two security guards armed with firearms around him. He was so scared of his life. So we are seeing a mafia behind all these things, honestly speaking.
TUCKER: What were the safety issues that caused Sam Altman to get fired, do you think?
MOTHER: We don't know if it's safety issue or whatever? We don't have the details of it. Even my son doesn't know any of these things. In fact, he supported Sam Altman's reinstatement. Like a lot of AI safety people have been leaving openAI. If you notice their CTO Mira, I don't know her last name, the CTO also resigned. Many people have

been leaving openAI, not just the researchers.
TUCKER: Why?
MOTHER: That's exactly what I want to know, why there's so many people to leave. Obviously, there is something that they're not happy about. Right? Only person who spoke against them was my son. Rest of them just quietly walked away. My son, he couldn't take it. He felt like you know, he needed to stand up and tell the truth so some harm can be prevented. We need to investigate all this seriously.
TUCKER: Did your son ever mention… during that period when Sam Altman left, that very brief period, there was a report in the news media that said within openAI, AI itself was being worshiped like a god. Did he ever mention anything about that?
MOTHER: Such he didn't mention.
TUCKER: And do you have any sense of what these harms are? I mean, clearly people are afraid of what AI can do, not just by eliminating jobs, which is enough to worry about, but there are other things that people are very concerned about. Do you know what they are?
MOTHER: They are in my son's publications. Some of them he's covered. One of them is like less traffic means the loss of jobs. That was one of his concerns. I'm proud of him for bringing that up. He gave the graph, graphical representation of how the traffic is reduced to Stack Overflow and future websites that are a means of living for people that will be taken away. That's his concern, and also the accuracy, as I mentioned earlier in the interview, the accuracy of data. And also, he says something called, like the modulation index, or something .5 versus 1.0, he tells in his article, if it's .5, the data in and data out are not the same. If it's one, data in and data out are same. Most companies, including openAI, use point five. That means the data accuracy is not maintained. That is his biggest concern. He being kind of like an architect inside. He knows ins and outs of chatGPT.
TUCKER: What happens next?
MOTHER: If my son were alive, he was planning to bring a competition to openAI. He was working on a medical startup. I even checked with him. How do you think it's a competition? Not directly, Mom, it's an indirect competition. He said he would have been a great humanitarian. He would have done a lot of causes for humanity with

the concerns he had, we lost a great human being, not just my son, who would have made a big difference to the world. Now what we want is we want justice. We want that sentence to the one who killed my son, as well as the imprisonment for people who are behind it. We don't know who it is, but we want to know, and we want to know what my son stood against, and take actions, at least in honor of him, at least do something that he stood for. And let his soul be at peace.
TUCKER: What happened to the piece of wig found on the floor soaked in blood in your son's apartment? Where is it?
MOTHER: It's still there. We didn't pick it up because we want police to go and look at it. It's in the apartment right now. Nobody came to do the investigation. We have the apartment keys. Nobody came to do the investigation. We have been requesting police sitting there right now, yes, still there. We didn't pick up anything because it's crime scene evidence.
TUCKER: But no one's investigating it as a crime scene.
MOTHER: No. In fact, like our attorney said, up to December 31 these people will retain the apartment. In fact, we are paying the rent and we are maintaining the apartment as is, because we want someone to come and investigate all these things with so much evidence, if medical examiner still calls it suicide. This is an ethical question, the regulatory question. So it's just sitting on the floor right now? Yes, it's the same place. We didn't touch any of that.
TUCKER: Oh, this is a really crazy story.
MOTHER: It is reality, right? It's not a story. It's how gruesome it is. And like, you see all the blood shot, like there was a fight, and my son has been tortured. He didn't die immediately. And where can we go with it. Where is peace of mind for us? We can't we just don't have any peace of mind. Our life is not normal at all. The only thing that could make a difference after the loss of our son is get him justice. Punish the wrongdoers, protect the right.
TUCKER: Did you know that California was this corrupt?
MOTHER: Yes, we know it's very corrupt. That's exactly why we're requesting FBI thorough investigation. We did spoke to an agent, I think last Friday, we're waiting for that agent to get someone assigned, if you know Special Agent or something, and we also know that there's a transition period in the government. Some may be moved, some

may be leaving. So we just thought, Okay, let's wait.
Meanwhile we did all the groundwork that we needed to do. We have the evidence we are here in the media. We're telling the media now we want a new, oncoming federal government to intervene, because Newsom, we know, Trump himself makes a lot of statements against Newsom. We don't. We wrote to Ro Khanna,
TUCKER: Did you talk to Ro Khanna, Congressman from Silicon Valley?
MOTHER: We wrote to him, no response from Him. Many people response from Ro Khanna, yes. Many people try to reach out to him, not one. Many people, no response from him, because they're all inside, right? All this tech lobbying.
TUCKER: Okay? I'm going to, you know what? I'm going to text Ro Khanna right now. I'm going to and I'm going to send him this right here. And Ro Khanna has not responded to you.
MOTHER: I'm, okay, I sent out. I filled in a form on his website. I gave my phone number, I gave all the details on his website. Many people whom we know have tried to contact Ro Khanna, they took my email address and they said Ro Khanna will reach it's been two weeks. It hasn't reached us. Initially, we thought it's holidays time, so he's little busy, but even it's 14th of January today, no response yet. Okay, I just texted him right now, and I just sent him pictures of the relevant information.
TUCKER: And I and I hope that you'll try again. Well, I mean, Ro Khanna is the Silicon Valley member of Congress.
MOTHER: And we don't believe in state government at all. We know how corrupt it is, especially in the San Francisco Bay area. It's very, very corrupt. We don't believe in the system unless you know we chained Garner, we won't believe anything will happen here. We need to go to federal. And we also found out that he's protected the whistle blower act as well. What? there's a federal aspect as well. Yes, right? There are two things here. One is wrongful death. One is a whistle blower. The whistle blower comes under federal because the lawsuit was filed in New York, and he was a custodian witness. So we have a backing from federal government involvement, if just the state, corrupt state, would fight against it. Since it is federal, they can overtake the federal aspect. We are writing to Federal Trade Commission now,

today or tomorrow, and we want them to do a quiet investigation of the case. We have a top attorney in whistle blowers that's helping us. *Pro bono* means contingency basis. We will work with him and bring the truth out.
TUCKER: I mean, your son was born in this country. You can't murder American citizens because they're standing in the way of your business. That is not allowed in this country. You can't do that.
MOTHER: Imagine we are immigrants here, and we came here, we raised our son. We were so proud of him. We don't expect this to happen in this country, in America. No, that's right. We don't expect this to happen like sense of security has been lost for me ever since.
TUCKER: Do you worry about your safety?
MOTHER: Potentially now that, like we've gone so public, they may not target us, yes, but there might be some kind of threat in terms of accidents or something like that, can potentially, indirectly, they could harm us that they cannot be caught. We are. We suspect that, and I don't go out alone anywhere, because I'm more vocal. So I don't go out alone for walk or anywhere. I always take my husband along, and we make sure if we go somewhere, we inform our friends where we are. We just keep letting people know, in fact, like, I don't want my family from India to visit me, because it's a big risk for them.
TUCKER: Has the New York Times called you to do this story?
MOTHER: We call New York Times two days after we got his body and we told I think his name was, I don't remember his name, so we told him many times they haven't even interviewed. They ignored it completely. And he said he'll get back. He will say he'll let us know what he can do. And he didn't. He doesn't even take our call.
TUCKER: What about the television network? CNN, NBC. Fox is anyone?
MOTHER: Nobody. ABC, just relate the visual candlelight, visual that we had for switch here and Milpitas 1.5 minutes, they relate of the visual information. ABC News, local. Now that we just received this evidence today morning, we probably might reach out through some of the news media. Now that we have this evidence, news media will talk to us. We want to take it much forward.
TUCKER: I don't, for the third time, I just want to say this again. I don't think anybody, any honest person, could look at this and say, Oh yes,

that's a suicide. I mean.
MOTHER: We were hesitant because, like, they can try to manipulate the evidence or something like that. We were very hesitant like, they can even attack the apartment, remove everything, clean up like some things, crazy things, like they might cause a fire, and then let the water sprinklers go on and it will destroy the evidence or something, right? That's why we were very quiet. We didn't go to media until we got this authoritative report. It's very scary.
TUCKER: Well, I'm grateful that you're making as much noise about this as you are, and you're as aggressive as you are. Thank you for doing that.
MOTHER: Whistle blowers lives matter. We cannot lose honesty. I was talking too much on I expressed concerns about his whistle blower activity. But what I was thinking was he wanted to get another job because he's going against employer, right? He kept assuring me he has his own startup plans, but taking his life is something that I never expected. This is not the first time. Two whistleblowers from Boeing were found dead last year. One of them exactly same way. Another one died in the hospital. They drugged him.
This is America.
TUCKER: Thank you for this.
MOTHER: We need to protect them. Most whistle blowers, we were talking to the whistle blower attorneys, most of them, they don't even know there is support. There's not enough awareness. Going forward, we want to create awareness and help others then, not just that. There should be some kind of regulation, protection, and I'm sure we are going to talk to New York Times at some point of time, and said, how did you put his life as trust if he was a custodian witness. Why didn't you give him protection? Why was he left alone? Right? What kind of protection did you have for speaking truth, costing his life?
TUCKER: Well, I think most Americans like you would not even consider the possibility you'd be murdered over something like this. You know, the penalty you would assume would be having trouble getting another job, but getting killed in your apartment. And your son, I know I've asked you, just want to make totally clear, he never mentioned being followed or feeling threatened or anything like that.
MOTHER: Actually, I do have some observation. After New York

Times article came, he didn't want us to visit. He would come home and avoid me going there. And he would also come during the weekday, not the weekend. I want to investigate. Why was he already under some kind of someone was following him or something. I was about to demand him an answer, but he's no more. Like, slowly like with an adult and like, how much can we push him each time he visits. I just made sure, like he's eating well and he's healthy, and he has strong plans, he's has hobbies, all of those things, but this kind of information, why are you coming in the weekdays? I was didn't get a chance to push him. He was going to come for Thanksgiving, and that's when I would question him. I thought he wasn't even alive. Till then we didn't have enough time.

TUCKER: Thank you for telling us this story.

MOTHER: Thank you for hosting us. I know we want Americans to know what happened and why has been covered by news media. Why no one is talking about it, why they want to repeat like parrots what medical examiner is saying. Why they don't want to stand up for truth. Why are we so sold out for these tech lobbying? Is there any regulation on the amount of money they can spend for lobbying? Right? It's indirect bribe, right? So they're all sold out. Can't we do anything?

TUCKER: I'm an American citizen too.

MOTHER: This is totally disappointing. A reporter came home, he took the pictures, he wrote the article, and when I mentioned openAI's name, there was so much fear in him. He was scared. So the tech companies that controlling, and they're turning out to be mafia, controlling the government, controlling the news media. What more harm do we want from them? Do we public? Do we need to live in safety, or do we need to live in fear? What are we doing?

Why are we quiet now, now that an innocent life is gone, we are seeing so much going on. Why are we quiet? Why can't we start justice for switch here movement. Why can't we do rallies? Why can't we go on a non-stoppable movement until we get the justice, until we get the punishment? I'm calling out to all Americans to stand with me. We want to do rallies all over America. We want to do vigil all over America, and we want to enforce FBI investigation and punish the culprit.

TUCKER: I hope this helps you.